

The charity for patient safety

and justice

Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and **Emergency** Care

6 March 2019

Fieldfisher, London #AvMAAandE

CPD: 6 hours (APIL accredited) SRA competencies:





Dear Delegate

AvMA are delighted to welcome you to the Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care in event. We hope you find the evening informative and interesting. AvMA staff will be on hand to help make it so and we hope that the following information will help make the event more pleasant and productive.Contact Details at the Conference

The AvMA Registration Desk will be staffed from 09.00 – 16.00. If you have any queries or emergencies at any point during the conference, please go to the registration desk, or ask any member of the AvMA staff for assistance.

General Points

To ensure that you receive excellent service whilst attending this event, we would appreciate your co-operation with the following:

BADGES:

Please ensure that you wear your badge at all times to help with prompt delivery of messages and as a means of identifying you to other delegates.

QUESTIONS:

Speakers are happy to answer your questions at the end of their presentation. We would be grateful if you could identify yourself and your company before asking your questions.

DOCUMENTATION:

All documentation received at the time before the event is enclosed within the online documentation pack. Any missing papers will either be distributed during the event or be available for download soon after the event. Please be assured that AvMA always endeavours to offer a complete set of speaker papers included within the documentation pack. However, due to other commitments by our speakers this is not always possible.

MOBILE TELEPHONES:

We would appreciate your co-operation in ensuring that all mobile telephones are switched off in the conference room.



The charity for patient safety and justice

RESTROOMS:

There are restrooms located close by the conference room, please contact a member of AvMA staff who will direct you to the nearest facilities.

NO SMOKING:

There is strictly no smoking in the conference venue and we would kindly request that if you would like to smoke, you will have to go outside. Thank you in advance for your co-operation.

EVALUATION FORMS:

Please be so kind as to complete and hand in the evaluation form before leaving the conference. All delegate packs should have an evaluation form in them, but if you cannot locate one then please collect one from the registration desk. We are constantly striving to improve our service to you and therefore value your feedback.

CPD CONFIRMATION:

CPD: 6 hours (APIL accredited) SRA competencies: (3) Provider ID Number: 1051 The conference code is AC/AvMA 368

Finally, if there are any problems, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

Karen Sara Events Assistant, AvMA

www.avma.org.uk/events





Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care

Speaker Biographies

RICHARD BOOTH QC, has practised at 1 Crown Office Row since being called to the Bar by Middle Temple in 1993 and is our current Head of Chambers. He grew up in South Wales before taking degrees in Cambridge and Brussels. As a junior, he had a broad base of advocacy experience in a variety of courts and tribunals the length and breadth of the country.

He specialises in clinical negligence, disciplinary / regulatory law, personal injury (especially brain and sports injuries), costs, inquests and sports law.

Richard is recognised as a 'Leading Silk' in Clinical Negligence and Professional Discipline by Chambers & Partners and is nominated for their 2018 'Professional Discipline Silk of the Year' Award.

Having originally studied Modern Languages at Cambridge, Richard has a good working knowledge of Spanish and French.

MR MANOLIS GAVALAS, is the most senior ED consultant at UCH with hands on experience in all aspects of emergency medicine.

He is an established national and international

expert in Clin Neg and has provided an extensive number of reports mainly for claimants although he is frequently instructed to act for the MDU in defendant work.

His main interest is in education having identified a major problem with doctors in general failing to execute optimum 'decision making'.

CARON HEYES, is a solicitor in Fieldfisher Clinical Negligence team in London. She has pursued clinical negligence claims on behalf of patients across a broad spectrum of claim types, including obstetrics and gynaecology, neonatology, oncology, cardiology, Emergency, fertility and patient rights. Caron has a particular expertise in complex neonatal, obstetric, surgical mismanagement and accident claims and is experienced in providing advice and representation at inquests.

The Legal 500, and Chambers, UK, a Client's Guide to the Legal Profession, have repeatedly recognised Caron's expertise as a leader in the field of Clinical negligence, and recommended her as an "experienced, adept and supportive lawyer" and "a star associate".

DR PAUL KENNEDY, Qualifying in 1995 from St Georges Hospital Medical School and Imperial College Mr Kennedy has been working continually in Emergency medicine for almost 20 years and as a consultant for almost 10. He has worked in England, Wales and Northern Ireland in teaching hospitals to small district generals to see extremes of care given in ED. He is presently involved in the RCEM CESR as well as being involved in the development of the associate physician program. He was previous head of EM medicine for the Oxford Deanery and is Trauma lead for the European Trauma course for London as well as being medical advisor to a local innovation hub. He is also the inventor and developer for the De Novo vaginal speculum the first soft vaginal speculum due for release later this year. His hobbies include developing smart and sustainable housing and painting which his is pretty bad at.



The charity for patient safety and justice

Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care

Speaker Biographies

JO MOORE, was called to the Bar in 2015 and joined 1 Crown Office Row Chambers in September 2016.

She has a broad practice with a particular focus on clinical negligence and public law. Jo has completed an extended secondment with a claimant clinical negligence firm, working on birth injuries and other high value claims. She advises and represents both claimants and defendants in medical matters.

Jo has a particular interest in medical inquests. She has worked with AvMA pro bono in a complex neonatal inquest. She is also interested in sports injuries, doping, and the duty of care owed to athletes by their team medics.

MR NADEEM NAYEEM is a Consultant in the Emergency Department at the University Hospital Lewisham in London. He was the Clinical Director of Emergency Departments at University Hospital Lewisham and Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woolwich in South East London from 2012 - 2016.

The University Hospital Lewisham Emergency Department is an inner city District General Hospital that sees in excess of 120,000 new patients per year.

DR TIM NUTBEAM, is a consultant in Emergency Medicine, lead consultant for the Devon Air Ambulance, Honorary Professor of Prehospital Critical Care and the Lead Clinical Advisor for the United Kingdom Sepsis Trust.

Tim was a member of the team that developed the "Sepsis Six"; this treatment bundle is used in 96% of acute hospital trusts in the UK and in 33 countries worldwide.

Tim edited the "ABC of Sepsis" for BMJ books and all versions of the UKST's manual. He has co-authored all versions of the sepsis 'toolkits' produced by the UKST to guide the early diagnosis and management of sepsis.

MR PETER RICHMOND FRCS (EDIN), FRCS (LOND), FRCEM, is a Honorary Consultant in Emergency Medicine - Poole NHS FT.

Previously Consultant in Cardiff for twenty-five years, Clinical Director for fifteen years, Regional Representative for College of Emergency Medicine, Clinical Lead for Wales Emergency Care Access Collaborative and Course Director in Advanced Trauma Life Support for twenty-five years Consultant in Poole until June 2018

Twenty-five years experience in preparing reports in clinical negligence for Claimant and Defendant, acted as a professional and expert witness in Civil, Criminal and Coroner's cases and in Clinical Negligence trials.



patient safety

and justice

Forthcoming Conferences and Events

Court of Protection conference

20 March 2019, Manchester Conference Centre

Since its inception in 2007, the Court of Protection has made crucial decisions to try to protect the wellbeing of vulnerable individuals. In a rapidly-evolving legal environment, AvMA's second annual Court of Protection conference will examine the current state of litigation and the challenges and responsibilities facing those who work in this important area. Programme available and booking will open in December.

Cerebral Palsy and Brain Injury Cases - Ensuring you do the best for your client

22 May 2019, America Square Conference Centre, London

Emergency Care Services are facing intense pressures to sustain its urgent and emergency care system. With the changing NHS climate there is a vital need to continually monitor these services and ensure high quality care remains consistent throughout the NHS. AvMA's conference on 'Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care' will examine the current standards, issues, roles and responsibilities, investigations and management of key areas in accident and emergency care.

31st Annual Clinical Negligence Conference

28-29 June 2019, Royal Armouries Museum, Leeds

Join us in Leeds for the 31st ACNC! This is the annual event that brings the clinical negligence community together to learn and discuss the latest developments, policies and strategies in clinical negligence and medical law. Early bird booking deadline is 22 March 2019 and the conference programme will be available by the end of March. Sponsorship and exhibition packages are now available.

For further details of our events: www.avma.org.uk/events Tel 020 3096 1140

e-mail conferences@avma.org.uk

www.avma.org.uk/events



The charity for

patient safety

and justice

AvMA Medico-Legal Webinars

Instant access to leading medico-legal webinars from just £49 + VAT per webinar!

Medico-legal information at your fingertips

Working on a client file and looking for more information to assist you with your case? AvMA's medico-legal webinars give you immediate access to leading specialists speaking on subjects ranging from interpreting blood test results to medico-legal issues in surgery and many more besides!

Over 40 key subjects from UK's leading authorities on medico-legal issues

Featuring some of the UK's leading authorities on medico-legal issues, AvMA's webinars bring you all the benefits of a specialist targeted seminar.

When and where you need

The webinars can be watched at a time convenient to you, all without having to leave your office. You can watch the video as many times as you want, download the slides and extras materials to aid your learning.

Best value:

Sign up for an Annual Webinar Subscription with access to over medico-legal 40 titles to all your clinical negligence team from just £1200 + VAT

AvMA Lawyers' Service Rate		
Single Viewer	Multiple Viewers	Annual Subscription
£49.00 +VAT	£150.00+VAT	£1200.00+VAT
Standard Rate		
Single Viewer	Multiple Viewer	Annual Subscription
£65.00+VAT	£195.00+VAT	£1900.00+VAT
access to one webinar title	access to one webinar title	group access to the entire
for one viewer for 60 days	for more than one viewer	library for 12 months
	for 60 days	

Get access now - www.avma.org.uk/learning

Please email paulas@avma.org.uk or call 020 3096 1140 for further details.

The charity for patient safety and justice



Hold an event for AvMA

Help support AvMA while raising goodwill and awareness for your organisation

From golf days and bike rides to curry nights and quiz nights, holding an event on behalf of AvMA can bring great benefits to both you and us. And we are on hand to offer advice and support to help your event run smoothly. So whether your focus is on business objectives (networking with clients and colleagues, reaching a new audience, building customer loyalty) or purely philanthropic, we can help you to make your event a real success.

How AvMA can help

Online ticketing

Lighten the load of organising bookings for your event by letting AvMA manage ticket sales for you. We can provide secure online booking on a dedicated webpage, handle payments and provide a complete guest list for you.

Publicising your event

We can promote your event to our clients and lawyer service members through dedicated mailings, social media posts and word of mouth recommendations, helping you to reach a wide audience of potential guests.

Use of the AvMA brand

We can provide logos, banners, presentations and other marketing materials to promote the charitable aims of your event and encourage more people to attend and support AvMA

Expert speakers

Members of AvMA staff, patrons and trustees can attend your event to give a speech, lend their support or simply network with your guests.

Get in touch

To find out more about how AvMA can work with you to create a really exciting event for your firm, please contact Jane Smythson for an informal chat.

Email: fundraising@avma.org.uk

Tel: 020 8688 9555





OR CHOOSE YOUR OWN EVENT...

fieldfisher

LEADING PERSONAL INJURY & MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE LAW FIRM

CARING FOR OUR CLIENTS COMMITMENT TO OUR CASES CUTTING EDGE EXPERTISE

"A highly compassionate and client-oriented approach with the resources and the hard-driving attitude of a City firm"

Legal 500





SOLICITORS JOURNAL AWARDS 2017 Personal Injury Team of the Year







✓ 03458 72 76 78

- ☑ info@pic.legal
- 🖌 @PIC_Legal
- f pic.legal

For 21 years, PIC has been the primary claimant-only costs specialist in the civil litigation market.

we promise...



COSTS BUDGETING EXPERTS



YOUR FEES RECOVERED, FAST



HIGHEST PROFIT COSTS RECOVERY



QUICK FILE TURNAROUND

PIC Head Office Robson House 4 Regent Terrace

Doncaster South Yorkshire DN1 2EE

www.pic.legal



Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care

6 March 2019 Fieldfisher, London

09.45 Chair's Opening Remarks RICHARD BOOTH QC, Barrister, 1 Crown Office Row

09.50 Sepsis – A Medical Emergency

DR TIM NUTBEAM, Consultant in Emergency Medicine, University Hospitals Plymouth; Lead Doctor, Devon Air Ambulance & Clinical Advisor, United Kingdom Sepsis Trust

10.35 Medico-Legal Issues in Diagnosing and Treating Acute Abdominal Pain MR NADEEM NAYEEM, Consultant Emergency Department, University Hospital Lewisham, London

11.25 Refreshments

11.40 Common Orthopaedic Injuries – Diagnosis & Management in the Emergency Department MR PAUL KENNEDY, Consultant in Emergency Medicine, Poole General Hospital

12.30 Lunch

13.15 Emergency Care and Who Provides It MR PETER RICHMOND, Honorary Consultant Emergency Medicine, Poole NHS Foundation Trust

14.00 Current Standards for Cardiac Care in Accident and Emergency MR MANOLIS GAVALAS, Consultant in Accidents and Emergency, University College Hospital, London

14.45 Refreshments

15:00 Current Standards for Stroke Care in Accident and Emergency MR PETER RICHMOND, Honorary Consultant Emergency Medicine, Poole NHS Foundation Trust

15.45 Representing Clients with an A&E Claim

CARON HEYES, Senior Associate, Fieldfisher; JO MOORE, Barrister, 1 Crown Office Row

16.25 Chair's Closing Remarks



Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care

6 March 2019 Fieldfisher, London

DELEGATE LIST

Name	Surname	Organisation	Job Title
Richard	Booth QC	1 Crown Office Row	Barrister
Emma	Broomfield	Lanyon Bowdler	Solicitor
Tara	Byrne	Boyes Turner	
Elliot	Clarke	Gadsby Wicks	
Nick	Fairweather	Fairweathers Solicitors LLP	Chief Executive (Senior Solicitor)
Mr Manolis	Gavalas	University College London	Consultant in Accident and Emergency Medicine
Emma	Green	Medical Protection Society	Medical Claims Adviser
Shannon	Hartin	PIC	Negotiations Manager
Caron	Heyes	Fieldfisher	Senior Associate
Lindsay	Holt	Fieldfisher	Partner
Russell	Kaunz	YES Personal Injury Lawyers (trading name of Eaton Smith LLP)	
Mr Paul	Kennedy	Poole NHS Foundation Trust	Consultant in Emergency medicine
Jenny	Kennedy	Anthony Gold	Partner
Dr Freya	Levy	NHS Resolution	Clinical Fellow Emergency Medicine
Philippa	Luscombe	Penningtons Manches LLP	Partner
Liam	Moloney	Moloney & Company	Managing Partner
Jo	Moore	1 Crown Office Row	Barrister
Sian	Morris	Switalskis Solicitors Limited	Legal executive
Mr Nadeem	Nayeem	Lewisham Hospital	Consultant & Clinical Director of A&E
Dr Tim	Nutbeam	University Hospitals Plymouth	Lead Clincial Advisor / Consultant in Emergency Medicine
Maria	Repanos	Fletchers Solicitors	Associate Partner
Peter	Richmond	Poole NHS Foundation Trust	Honorary Consultant Emergency Medicine
Gerard	Sanders	Hart Brown Solicitors	Solicitor
Karen	Sara	Action against Medical Accidents	Events Assistant
Alex	Tengroth	Fairweathers Solicitors LLP	Senior Solicitor
Helen	Thompson	Fieldfisher	Senior Associate
Adele	Whitfield	YES Personal Injury Lawyers (trading name of Eaton Smith LLP)	



SEPSIS: A Medical Emergency

Notes to accompany presentation: 6th March 2019 for AvMA <u>Timnutbeam@nhs.net</u>

To cover the following areas:

- Key concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis
- Areas where sepsis care commonly 'goes wrong'
- Sepsis treatment and its evidence base

Section 1: Key concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis:

A) Sepsis and Anti-microbial resistance

There is a balance to strike between early and aggressive sepsis care and the preservation of life saving antimicrobials. Recent strategies that have increased the recognition and brought forward the treatment of sepsis in a patients journey (e.g. <u>the Sepsis CQUIN</u>) have resulted in a greater use of antibiotics in the emergency care setting but have reduced overall antibiotic consumption. Important strategies to reduce antibiotic use are mainly procedural (e.g. regular review of policies and prescriptions) as opposed to reducing access to individual patients with moderate or severe infection.

B) Sepsis isn't Sepsis

Sepsis is a syndrome associated with the body's dysregulated response to infection. The term "sepsis" is commonly used in government policy / NHS communications and other associated literature to describe all time-dependent and potentially lethal infections. The academic description of the syndrome v's operationalised usage can lead to conflict and confusion.

C) Sepsis and Outcomes

Infection is a common mode of death. William Osler (the father of modern medicine) described pneumonia as "the old man's friend". The most significant predictor of death in the context of infection (and sepsis) is age.

D) Testing for Sepsis

- Sepsis is a syndrome with no single 'test' for the disease.
- Blood cultures do not need to be positive (and are commonly negative). White cell counts, CRP's and other tests (e.g. procalcitonin) can indicate infection.
- Interpreting the patients physiology (heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturations) can help indicate the severity of infection.
- Evidence of end organ damage e.g. damage to kidneys, lung or liver is an indicator of the sepsis syndrome.
- Need to understand why testing and the test characteristics of each test (rule in / rule out, sensitivity and specificity)

E) Diagnosing Sepsis

- Diagnostic criteria have changed over time, despite 'international definitions' no clear standard exists.
- Different trusts will use different diagnostic standards:
 - The presence of SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome) + infection
 - A Q-SOFA score (Sepsis-3 definitions)
 - Using NEWS (National Early Warning Score)
 - Using a 'screening tool' (e.g. UKST)

- F) Infection is progressive
- Patient's with or at risk of infection must be 'screened' regularly for the presence of sepsis
- Each patient will progress at different rates due to host and infection factors
- May continue to progress despite treatment mandatory reassessment.

Section 2: Areas where sepsis care commonly 'goes wrong'

This section identifies common themes in route cause analysis' related to infection and sepsis.

- A) Transitions Of Care
- Transfer of care is high risk for errors:
 - o Between organisations
 - $\circ \quad \text{Areas within an organisation} \\$
 - o Teams or personnel
- Guidance recommends that when a patient is identified as having sepsis, that initial bundles of care (e.g. the Sepsis Six)
- B) More than one diagnosis

Especially when the diagnosis's are owned by more than one speciality

C) Failure to complete paperwork

D) Junior clinical staff

- a. ST4 + dictated within some guidelines for review
- b. Significant experience to "rule-out" outside of guidance
- c. Unfortunate effects on antibiotic use
- E) Failure to Safety Net
 - a. Written v's verbal
 - b. Triggers to return
 - c. Documentation

Section 3: Sepsis Treatment:

The Sepsis Six, review and **escalate** care.

- Oxygen
- Blood Cultures
- Intravenous antibiotics
- Intravenous fluids
- Measure lactate
- Measure urine output



1	
-	

Abdominal cases – 20 cases in the past 5				
	yе	ears		
Perforated Duodenal Ulcer	3	• Torsion testis	1	
• Appendicitis	3	• Intestinal perforation	1	
• Urinary sepsis	2	• Intestinal obstruction	1	
Oesophageal perforation	2	• GI bleed	1	
• Abscesses – perineum, buttock	2	• Gall stones	1	
• Ectopic pregnancy	2	Liver laceration	1	















Condition Abd	Conditions Associated with Abdominal Pain					
Right	Center	Left				
Gallstones Cholecystitis Stomach ulcer Duodenal ulcer Hepatitis	Heartburn/indigestion Hiatal hernia Epigastric hernia Stomach ulcer Duodenal ulcer Hepatitis	Functional dyspepsia Gastritis Stomach ulcer Pancreatitis				
Kidney stones Kidney infection Inflammatory bowel disease Constipation	Umbilical hernia Early appendicitis Stomach ulcer Inflammatory bowel disease Pancreatitis	Kidney stones Kidney infection Inflammatory bowel disease Constipation				
Appendicitis Inflammatory bowel disease Constipation Pelvic pain (Gyne)	Bladder infection Prostatitis Diverticulitis Inflammatory bowel disease Inguinal hemia (groin pain) Pelvic pain (Gyne)	Constipation Irritable bowel syndrome Inflammatory bowel disease Pelvic pain (Gyne) Inguinal hernia (groin pain)				















ACUTE ABDOMEN (SURGICAL)

- Acute appendicitis
- Perforated peptic ulcer
- Renal Colic
- Intestinal Obstruction
- Ectopic pregnancy
- Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm
- Acute Diverticulitis

- Cholecystitis / biliary colic
- Acute Pancreatitis
- Mesenteric ischemia
- Internal / External hernias
- Crohn's disease
- Ulcerative Colitis toxic megacolon

































CASE 3 (Issues)

- Was patient well enough to be discharged home (BP 101/50).
- Given IV fluids which may have improved the BP (temporarily)
- No re-assessment of abdomen done or recorded.



CASE 4

- 39 years old female (previously has had a benign Ovarian cyst removed 6 months earlier) presents with severe cramping abdominal pain and vomiting. Pain settles by the time she was seen by the ED doctor, discharged home with diagnosis of Peptic Ulcer, advised to see her GP.
- 2 days later re-presents with similar pain and vomiting. Required morphine for pain relief. Admitted to the ward (CDU), diagnosis constipation, given enemas. Next day further severe pain. Abdominal X-Ray shows dilated loops of bowel.
- Laparotomy adhesions gangrenous loops of small bowel.



INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION

- Intestinal obstruction is a blockage that keeps food or liquid from passing through the small intestine or large intestine.
- Causes of intestinal obstruction may include fibrous bands of tissue (adhesions) in the abdomen that form after surgery, an inflamed intestine (Crohn's disease), infected pouches in the intestine (diverticulitis), hernias and colon cancer.
- Signs and symptoms of intestinal obstruction include:
 - Crampy abdominal pain that comes and goes
 - Constipation absolute
 - Vomiting
 - Inability to have a bowel movement or pass gas
 - Swelling of the abdomen distention





<section-header><section-header>



AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

KEY MESSAGE

- 'Despite diagnostic and therapeutic advances (CT, MRI, ultrasound scan, laparoscopy etc) the missed diagnosis rate of the most common surgical emergency – acute appendicitis has remained unchanged'.
- Most diagnosis are made by the history (information gained by the physician by asking specific questions) and physical examination.

42


CONCLUSIONS

- Acute Abdominal pain is common (5 10 % of ED attendances)
- 18% admitted
- \neq 50% confirmed diagnosis
- < 5% have a serious diagnosis
- Clinically challenging
- Diagnosis rely heavily on what information the doctor is able to obtain and the physical examination findings, low threshold for admission and repeat observations especially in high risk patients.
- Blood tests, x-ray investigations are of limited value

Diagnosis and Management in the Emergency Department Paul Kennedy

Common Orthopaedic injuries

- Scaphoid injuries
- Hip fractures
- Spinal Injuries
- Cauda equina
- Knee Injuries
- Ankle sprains and fractures
- Achilles tendon injuries



AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London Just because they are not life threatening does not mean they are not life changing

Diagnostic process

- History
- Examination
- Imaging
- Review

AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

3

Safety netting

- Occurs clinically
 - Advice
 - Not GP
 - Follow up clinic
- · Radiological review of images

Radiology safety net guidelines

- · NICE 2016 Hot reporting
- "A radiologist or other trained reporters should deliver the definitive written report of ED X-rays of suspected fractures before the patient is discharged from the ED"
 - Rare
- RCEM 2016
- "within 48 hours"
- · "reviewed by a senior member of the team"
- · "acted upon"
- This means 7/7
- Reasonable efforts

AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

Rule in vs Rule out

- "have I broken something?"
- "which bone have I broken?"
- Patients want rule out
- Most investigations are rule in
 - Demonstrate what the abnormality is, not if there is an abnormality



A watched pot never boils An unwatched pot boils instantly

AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London





9





Scaphoid injuries

- Scaphoid is one of the carpal bones
- · Between the thumb and the wrist
- Commonly injured by a fall on an outstretched hand
- Normal reflex on falling to prevent facial injuries
- · Vital for the maintenance of the pincer grip

Scaphoid injuries

- Problems:-
 - It doesn't always show up on initial x-ray despite specialist views
 - Its blood supply comes from one end
 - Failure to diagnose and treat produces avascular necrosis and potential permanent disability



Scaphoid injuries

- Management
 - High index suspicion
 - · Clinical fractures with normal x-rays need immobilisation
 - Imaging of choice MRI
 - NICE 2017



Hip fractures

- · Very common injury associated with ageing, osteoporosis and arthritis
- Normally associated with a trip, fall or collapse producing rapid movement of the hip
- In the young it reflects a higher energy injury and more commonly results in dislocation or fractures of the acetabulum
- Produce pain on straight leg raising and mobilisation







Hip fractures

- High level of suspicion in anyone who falls, fails to get up again and complains of hip pain
- An apparently normal x-ray with a failure to mobilise post imaging should reignite suspicion
- CT scan or MRI scan as an inpatient as early diagnosis of intra-capsular fractures results in a smaller less invasive operation
- Pain relief should include a fascia iliac block post diagnosis (NICE 2017)
- Post block observation (RCEM 2017)





21

Spinal fractures

- · Need to separate fractures of the vertebrae and spinal cord injuries
- Vertebrae are like cans on drink
- · Very strong but if forces exceed strength crumple
- Stable vs Unstable
 - Retropulse fragment
- All patients who have a mechanism suggestive of spinal fracture or post traumatic back pain should be managed as if they have an unstable fracture
 - · Especially in the intoxicated or altered level of consciousness

Spinal fractures

- · Log roll and palpate for pain
- · Very poor correlation between tenderness and fractures
- Canadian C-spine rules
- Any suspicion should raise the concern of spinal cord injury
- Examined accordingly

Spinal fractures

- Mechanism and pain is a much better indicator
- Low threshold for imaging
- Plain x-rays are good for lumbar and cervical fractures except in elderly
- With increasing age and with thoracic injuries lower threshold for CT scanning
 - NICE head injury guidelines
- · Finding cord threatening injuries also requires a full neurological exam and MRI



Cauda Equina Syndrome

- The spinal cord in humans ends at about the level of the bottom of the chest
- The mass of fine nerves that come out are known as the cauda equina
- · In cauda equina syndrome the mass of fine nerves is compressed
- This includes the nerves that supply the bladder, pelvic sphincter and genitals
- Failure to diagnose results in permeant incontinence and impotence



Cauda Equina Syndrome

- Treatment is urgent decompression
- · Vital differentiated from large number of back pains or sciatica seen in ED
- · Requires easy rapid access to MRI
- · Low incidence in the number of scanned patients
 - 0.5%
- Failure to diagnose is life changing
- Future



AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

Knee injuries

- Largest synovial joint in the body at the end of the two longest levers, acted on by some of the biggest muscles in the body with the most degrees of freedom of any joint in the body
 - Not a just a hinge joint
- · Knee is flexed 0.5-2.5 million times a year
- Huge forces go through the knee
- Ottawa rules for imaging for investigate of fractures
 - For fractures only
- Most knee injuries are not fractures but still need diagnosing and treating

• Age =	
	> 55
 Isolate 	ed tenderness over patella (no other bon tenderness) OR
• Tende	rness of fibula head OR
• Unabl	e to flex to knee 90 degrees OR
• Unabl	e to weight bear immediately and in ED
• (4 s	teps limping)
• If fra	acture diagnosed it needs treating accordingly

Knee injuries

- Intra articular injuries (within the capsule of the knee)
- Tend to result in effusions of the knee
- · Rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament bleeds rapidly
- Fractures ooze rapidly blood and fat
- Damage to articular cartilage or menisci result in slow accumulation of effusion with or without blood



Knee injuries

- All require history to establish biomechanics of injury and symptoms
- · Examination shows functional impairment and localisation of pain
- The need for further imaging depending on clinical suspicion
- In view importance of joint all significant injuries require some form of follow up



Ankle fractures and sprains

- Ankle injuries are extremely common
- · Time is not a good differentiator of sprain or fracture
- "it's just a sprain"
- · Benefits from active treatment
 - Physiotherapy
 - Home exercises
 - · Immobilisation and DVT risk assessment
- Impact work and driving

Ottawa ankle rules

- Indications to x-ray
- Initially planned to reduces imaging rates
- · Excludes fractures in these 4 locations only
- Not diagnostic of sprains
- Is not reliable in children, the intoxicated, the pregnant or delayed presentations





Ankle fractures and sprains

- Fractures spreading up
- Importance of 2 joints rule
- Clinical suspicion
- Compartment syndrome
- DVT assessment with immobilisation



39



AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

Achilles tendon injuries

- · Classically fails under extreme load
- · Often increased exertion after a period of not exercising
- Can just happen crossing the road
- · Feels like has been kicked in back of ankle
- Simmonds test
 - What is positive ?
- · Unable to stand on tip toes

Achilles tendon injuries

- USS make definite diagnosis
 - Partial or full rupture
 - · Tendon, muscotendinous junction, muscle tear
- The decision to repair is complex
- · All are managed in holding the ankle in equinus
 - DVT prophylaxis



43



44

AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

Common Orthopaedic injuries Diagnosis and Management in the Emergency department Dr Paul Kennedy <u>mrpgkennedy@me.com</u> 07976 724815

Slide 1

Introduction

Slide 2

Covering:- Scaphoid injuries, Hip fractures, Spinal Injuries, Cauda Equina, Knee injuries, Ankle sprains and fractures and achilles tendon injuries

Slide 3

Although seen as non life threatening they can easily be life changing Especially if undiagnosed or misdiagnosed

Slide 4

The diagnostic process has evolved over hundreds of years to be efficient and as accurate as possible.

Requires a detailed history and examination

Relevant investigations

Review putting them all together

They complement each other to improve the pick up hence removing one increases the failure rate

Slide 5 Safety netting

No system is perfect and so there needs to be some kind of safety net in ED this takes two forms

Clinical : The patient should be advised if things don't settle to return

Injuries should not be sent to GP, they will only forward them to ED costing time

Follow up clinics : ED, Physio etc

Radiological review of images

Care provision should only end when the best possible outcome of injury have been reached

Slide 6 Radiological safety netting

NICE in 2016 recommended hot reporting before the patient leaves ED Within the 4 hour window Definitive report this is <u>not</u> the red dot system Reality we are a long way away from this RCEM within 48 hours and must be acted upon and records kept 7/7 service (can't stop for weekends, easter or bank holidays) Reasonable efforts to contact patient - requires data to have been collected on booking in

Slide 7 Rule in vs Rule out

Patients normally come for rule out this can be very difficult in EM especially definitively Most investigations are designed to find a diagnosis so are rule in This is about the sensitivity and specificity of tests

Slide 8 A watched pot never boils- you can not invert the test

Slide 9

Not seeing a fracture is not the same as saying there is no fracture, or even there is no fracture visible

Negative rule in tests does not rule out the problem- you can have a heart attack and a normal ECG

Diagnosis requires combination of history, examination and investigation

"The patients tell you whats; wrong with them, you prove it when you examine them and can show other people with the results of the investigations"

Short cuts can produce length problems

Bias can be introduced really easy into the process

Slide 10 Time

Patients present with different symptoms at different times post event

They also present differently at different times in their lives

Delayed presentations can be difficult to diagnose and too easy to disregard

If anything it should lower threshold of suspicion

With short duration of attachments and high turn over of junior doctors this is very hard to teach

Need senior shop floor presence

Slide 11 Imaging

Plain X-rays are not pictures but total x-ray absorption in that plain usually 2 views or planes are taken- maybe more Cheap, quick and easy but subtle changes can be missed Ideally require gap between fragments Less effective in the young and the elderly

CT are now in effect with spiral 3D x-rays also show soft tissues and allow contrast Much bigger radiation dose although decreasing More expensive and time consuming Increasingly being used in EM

USS pick up differences in density using sound cheap but very user dependant and hard to produce useful static images Very useful for soft tissues- air and bone block it

MRI work by showing the water content on tissues slow expensive, claustrophobic Less good with bone but will show the bone bruising around fractures

Slide 12 Scaphoid injuries

Slide 13

One of the carpal bones of the wrist Its position between the thumb and wrist makes it vital for pincer grip hence injury is always significant Commonly injured being put out to save oneself when falling Result is, common mechanism and common injury

Slide 14

Fracture does not always show up on x-ray despite specialist views due to being held together by capsule of wrist, surrounding bones and compression effect of tendons Blood supply comes from one end hence fracture can cut of blood to other end Failure to diagnose and treat produces avascular necrosis with potential permanent disability So is common injury which is hard to diagnose and a disaster if missed especially in tasks putting pincer grip under load

Slide 15

Should always suspect scaphoid fracture in adults with FOOSH If clinically broken but with normal imaging still needs immobilisation MRI is imaging of choice and should be first line NICE 2017

Paul Kennedy Consultant EM <u>mrpgkennedy@me.com</u>

Slide 16 Hip fractures

Slide 17

Very Common injury associated with age, osteoporosis, arthritis

Normally associated with trip, fall or collapse producing rapid movement of the hip Rapid movement causes neck of femur to hit acetabulum or proximal femur to fail In the young this reflects high energy injury more commonly results in dislocations or fractures of the acetabulum

The patient complains of pain on straight leg raising and mobilisation- due to loading the fracture

Slide 18

Fractured hip is a fractured femur and can be associated with significant blood loss Problematic in the elderly due to heart disease and antihypertensive medication Pain produces spasm of the muscles surrounding the hip causing more pain Pain is not from the bone but the membrane covering bone- crushed on movement of fracture

Patients may require significant pain relief balanced by increased sensitivity to opiates with age

RCEM has target of time analgesia is given

Slide 19

Blood supply to the femoral head is limited Ligamentum teres, capsule and through the bone Intra capsular fractures cut off blood supply and even reduction of fracture usually results in avascular necrosis

Slide 20

Intra capsular vs extra capsular fractures Different management due to risk of avascular necrosis intra capsular are easier to miss In young, may try to save femoral head

Slide 21

Need to have high level of suspicion in anyone who falls and fails to get up and complains of hip pain

Those who appear to have normal x-rays but can't mobilise need review and maybe more imaging- need to ask, why there has been a change in mobility

If x-rays normal then it's worth getting CT or ideally MRI as an inpatient as early diagnosis of undisplaced intra capsular fracture (the ones most commonly missed) result in smaller less invasive operation

Pain relief should be by fascia iliac block post diagnosis - all ED and Ortho should be competent to do it

If successful can produce such relief pre block opiates can almost cause overdose so need post block observation (RCEM 2017)

Slide 22 Spinal fractures

Slide 23

Need to separate fractures of the vertebrae and spinal cord injuries

Vertebra are like drink cans, thin bone as cylinder

Very strong, but when over loaded tend to crumple

Post fracture import to establish if the farceur is stable or unstable, ie if structural integrity has been maintained and if there is risk of movement threatening the spinal cord

As it collapses a fragment maybe pushed back into the cord- retropulse fragment

All patients who have a mechanism suggestive of special fracture or post traumatic back pain should be managed as if they have an unstable fracture

Especially in the intoxicated or altered level of consciousness

Slide 24

Patients are log rolled to prevent movement of the spine and palpated for pain very poor correlation between tenderness and fractures Canadian C-spine rules involve mobilisation tests post examination of neck Any suspicion of fracture should raise the possibility of cord injury and should be examined accordingly with a full neurological exam

Slide 25

Mechanism and extent of pain is much better indicator Low threshold for imaging - especially in those at risk or history of high energy injury Plain X-rays are good for lumber and cervical fractures except in the elderly With increasing age and with thoracic injuries consider CT for imaging Under the NICE head injury over 65 also do c-spine on CT also decreased GCS Cord threatening or cord injuries require MRI scan

Paul Kennedy Consultant EM <u>mrpgkennedy@me.com</u>

07976 724815

Slide 26 Cauda equina syndrome

Slide 27

Spinal cord in humans ends about the level of bottom of the chest The cord then produces a mass of fine nerves called the caudal equina In cauda equina syndrome this mass of fine nerves is compressed - it poorly resists compression and compressing nerves causes them to stop working These nerves include those that supply the bladder, pelvic sphincter and genitals Failure or delay in diagnosis can result in permanent incontinence and impotence

Slide 28

It is the rule out diagnosis of anyone with back pain- Need to have high level of suspicion Look for bilateral leg symptoms, loss of sensation in perianal region, loss of sphincter tone, incontinence, urinary retention Need MRI

Slide 29

Treatment is urgent decompression Vital to differentiate it from the large number of back and sciatic pains seen in ED Requires easy rapid access to MRI Low incidence in number of scanned patients - about 0.5% But failure to diagnose is life changing Presently being discussed have caudal equina calls similar to stroke calls where all patients are seen by orthopaedics and have an MRI within 4 hours 24/7

Slide 30 Knee injuries

Slide 31

The knee is the largest synovial joint in the body, between the two longest lever arms acted upon by some of the biggest muscles in the body and has the most degrees of movement of any joint in the body

Not just a hinge joint, closer to two ball and socket joints joined together

Flexed 0.5-2.5 million times a year

Huge forces go through the knee and is well designed for these normal movement but susceptible to outside forces where its position and movement work against it

Ottawa rules for imaging for the investigation of fractures

Looking for fractures only

Most knee injuries are not fractures but need diagnosing and treating appropriately

Paul Kennedy Consultant EM <u>mrpgkennedy@me.com</u>

Slide 32 Ottawa knee rules

Age => 55 OR Isolated tenderness over patella (with no other bony tenderness) OR Tenderness of the fibula head OR Unable to flee knee to 90 degrees OP Unable to weight bear immediately and in the ED (4+ steps limping is mobile) Then they need an x-ray But other injuries may also need imaging Diagnosed fractures need treating accordingly

Slide 33

Intra articular injuries (within the knee capsule) tend to result in effusions (fluid) within the knee Rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament bleeds rapidly- instant effusion Fractures booze blood and fat into joint - form layers which are visible on x-ray Damage to articular cartilage or menisci result in slow accumulation of effusion with or without blood

Slide 34

Cruciate ligaments- prevent glide backwards and forwards anterior cruciate is thick with its own artery, rupture is associated with twisting symptomatic knee feels unstable especially on stairs Some resolved with physio - but at prolonged risk of arthritis Active people usually need repair Can avulse the bone- easy repair for full function - need to do x-ray Menisci are crescent wedge shaped spacers that spread the load Mobile and easily torn, produce clicking in the knee and potentially locking some heal, may need surgery but removal increases arthritis risk long term Articular cartilage become worn with time, worn surfaces can grind with rapid movement produce pain and effusion of knee Collateral ligaments ligaments limit side to side movement and are damaged by side impacts or forced movement of the leg in side deflection they usually resolve with physio Tendons are how the muscles attach to the muscles, the patella/quadriceps tendon can rupture and need repairing produce a loss of the ability to extend the knee or straight leg raise Bursa are fluid filled scars that prevent tendons and muscles rubbing against bones can become inflamed or infected Knee has 11 bursa

Slide 35

All require history to establish the biomechanics as this helps to work out what maybe damaged

Examination shows functional impairment and localisation of pain

The need for further imaging is dependent on clinical suspicion

In view of the importance of the knee, all significant injuries require treatment and some form of follow up

Slide 36 Ankle fractures and sprains

Slide 37

Ankle injuries are extremely common

Differentiating sprains and fractures can be difficult even time doesn't help Spains are significant injuries and should be taken seriously - torn ligament- proprioception They benefit from active treatment including physio, home exercises May also benefit from immobilisation and crutches depending on severity Impact on work and driving

Failure to treat properly results in "weak ankles"

Slide 38 Ottawa ankle rules

Indication of who definitely needed x-ray Initially planned to reduce imaging rates- studies shows it increases Sensitive but rapidly decreases with time post injury 4 places to check for pain It does not diagnose a sprain Not reliable in children, the intoxicated and uncooperative, pregnancy Delayed presentation

Slide 39 Ankle fractures

Important to grasp the biomechanics and fully examine patient Normal ankle x-rays do not follow 2 joint rule Clinical suspicion to get more imaging- need for senior cover Run the risk of compartment syndrome- huge painful, results in muscle death Immobilisation requires risk assessment for dvt and maybe anticoagulation

Slide 40 Achilles tendon injury

Slide 41

Tendon is thick and strong and classically fails under load Shock loading can be significantly higher Often happens after period of sudden increase in regimen - first day of season Due to shock loading event may be trivial - crossing road Feel sudden pain in back of ankle, classically if they've been kicked Patients are unable to stand on tip toes Simmonds test consists of squeezing calf to look for movement if ankle Difficulty in recording result as confusion if positive or negative result Also unable to stand on tip toes on injured side

Slide 42

USS is best used to make diagnosis even if delayed (ie seen when department open) Can show if partial or full rupture If the year is in tendon, tendon muscle junction or muscle All treated in equinus immobilisation but may need DVT prophylaxis Some amenable to repair- reduces re-ruputre rate Failure to diagnose produces devastating loss of function Delayed diagnosis impacts healing rate and re rupture rate

Slide 43 Questions

Slide 44 "a minor injury is something that happens to someone else"

Common Orthopaedic injuries Diagnosis and Management in the Emergency department Dr Paul Kennedy <u>mrpgkennedy@me.com</u> 07976 724815



EM Attendances 2018

24.45 million67,000/ daySome departments 50-60/hour

Four Hour Target – Jan 2019 84.4% - All 76.1% - Type 1



NHS England

AvMA Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care 6 March 2019, FieldFisher, London






Health Professionals in EM

- ENP Usually minor injuries and illness in ED and MIUs
- ANP/ Advanced Clinical Practitioners

Usually Primary care, but increasingly "majors" part of ED

- Physios supportive of ED clinicians, minors and majors
- ESPs Invariably assessing and managing in "minors"
- Paramedic Practitioners ED or Primary Care Streaming
- Physician Associates





Target 15 minutes

39,426 >30mins - Dec 2018

"Acute Trusts must always accept handover of patients within 15 minutes of an ambulance arriving at the ED or other urgent admission facility"

"The patient is the responsibility of the ED from the moment that the ambulance arrives outside the ED department, regardless of the exact location of the patient".



Addressing ambulance handover delays, November 2017 Willett K, Benger J, Philip P NHS Improvement, NHS England







NICE CG68, Para 1.1.1.3, 2017

Head Injury

1.3.4 Patients presenting to the emergency department with impaired consciousness (GCS less than 15) should be assessed **immediately** by a trained member of staff. [2003]

1.3.6 A trained member of staff should assess **all** patients presenting to an emergency department with a head injury within a maximum of **15 minutes** of arrival at hospital. Part of this assessment should establish whether they are high risk or low risk for clinically important brain injury and/or cervical spine injury. [2003]

NICE CG176, 2014





- 1.3 Assess for possible sepsis, observations
- 1.4 Risk stratification

Speed of response based on risk category

Sepsis Screening Tools

Sepsis: recognition, diagnosis and early management NICE NG40, 2016, last updated Sep 2017





				92	Presentational flow charts	
						Collapsed adult
Ma	ncheste	r Triage	System			* 4450 2000 200
IVIC		i illage	System		Airway compromise Inadequate breathing Shock Hypoglycaemia Currently fitting	RED
Number	Name	Colour	Max Time			and a state of the
1	Immediate	Red	0		Acutely short of breath Very low SpO ₂ New abnormal pulse Altered conscious level New neurological deficit less than 24 hrs old	OBANGE
2	Very urgent	Orange	10		Non-blanching rash Significant history of allergy Very hot Cold Cardiac pain	
3	Urgent	Yellow	60		Severe pain	
4	Standard	Green	120		History of unconsciousness New neurological deficit more than 24 hrs old	VELLOW
5	Non-urgent	Blue	240		Hot Moderate pain	
					Warmth Recent mild pain Recent problem	GREEN
					BLUE	1 2 3 4 5





Rapid Assessment

• See and Treat – Minor illness and injury

"Patients waiting to be seen in the See and Treat stream should not wait for longer than one hour to be seen"

• Rapid Assessment and Treatment – typically senior doctor

"enable time-critical conditions to be identified and interventions delivered rapidly"

	NEWS and PEWS
 Triage - within a 	Prioritises where demand exceeds capacity to fully assess appropriate time frame
"Triage is of arrival minutes	s a face-to-face encounter that should occur within 15 minutes or registration and should normally require less than 5 contact"
 Early W deterio 	/arning Scores help identify the physiologically disrupted or rating patient
"Should the undif significar	not be used in isolation for streaming, assessment or triage of fferentiated patient as this creates a risk of missing those with nt pathology that hasn't disrupted physiological parameters"
	Initial assessment of Emergency Department Patients, Service Design and Delivery, RCEM, 2017

Dhusislanisal		N	EW	S				Total 1–4	Minimum 4–6 hourly	Inform registered nurse, who must assess the patient Registered nurse decides whether increass frequency of monitoring and/or escalation care is required
parameter		2	1	0	1	2	3			Registered nurse to inform medical team
Respiration rate per minute)	≤8		9–11	12–20		21–24	≥25	3 in single parameter	Minimum 1 hourly	caring for the patient, who will review and decide whether escalation of care is necess
SpO ₂ Scale 1 (%)	≤91	92–93	94–95	≥96						Registered nurse to immediately inform th
SpO ₂ Scale 2 (%)	≤83	84–85	86–87	88–92 ≥93 on air	93–94 on oxygen	95–96 on oxygen	≥97 on oxygen	Total 5 or more		medical team caring for the patient Registered nurse to request urgent assess
Air or oxygen?		Oxygen		Air				Urgent response threshold	Minimum 1 hourly	by a clinician or team with core competen in the care of acutely ill patients
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	≤90	91–100	101–110	111–219			≥220			monitoring facilities
Pulse (per minute)	≤40		41–50	51–90	91–110	111–130	≥131			Registered nurse to immediately inform t medical team caring for the patient – thi
Consciousness				Alert			CVPU	Total		should be at least at specialist registrar level.
Temperature (*C)	≤35.0		35.1–36.0	36.1–38.0	38.1–39.0	≥39.1		7 or more Emergency response	Continuous monitoring of vital signs	care competencies, including practitioner with advanced airway management skills
Natior Boyal	nal Earl	y Warni of Phy	ing Scor	re (NEW 2017	/S) 2			threshold		Consider transfer of care to a level 2 or 3 clinical care facility, ie higher-dependency or ICU Clinical care in an environment with monitoring facilities

Emergency care - who provides it?

+ Initial Assessment in EM - Standards

Summary

Initial assessment in ED

- Various systems
- Guidelines and standards
- Practicalities

Clinical Governance

 Increasing variety health care personnel in emergency care
 Same personnel may provide differing roles
 Difficulties in identifying CG

lead



AVMA Conference March 2019 Peter W Richmond









Presentation of cardiac conditions in emergency department

- Most commonly as chest pain & arrhythmias
- Chest pain can be cardiac or non cardiac
- Extensive list of differential diagnosis of chest pain

Differential Diagnoses of chest pain

- <u>Acute Aortic Dissection</u>
- <u>Acute Cholecystitis and Biliary Colic</u>
- <u>Acute Coronary Syndrome</u>
- <u>Acute Gastritis</u>
- <u>Acute Mitral Regurgitation</u>
- <u>Acute Pericarditis</u>
- Angina Pectoris
- Anxiety Disorders
- Aortic Dissection
- Aortic Regurgitation
- Aortic Stenosis Imaging
- <u>Cardiogenic Shock</u>
- <u>Contusions</u>
- Depression

WHAT USE without Optimum Decision Making!

- Emergent Management of Pancreatitis
- Emergent Treatment of Gastroenteritis
- Esophageal Spasm
- Esophagitis
- Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease
- Herpes Zoster
- Infective Endocarditis
- <u>Mitral Valve Prolapse in Emergency Medicine</u>
- Myocarditis
- Pediatric Pneumonia
- Pleurodynia
- Pneumothorax
- Pneumothorax Imaging
- Primary Pulmonary Hypertension
- Pulmonary Embolism
- <u>Stroke Imaging</u>
- Unstable Angina
- <u>Ventricular Septal Defect Surgery in the Pediatric Patient</u>





STEMI

 ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) occurs when a coronary artery becomes blocked by a blood clot, causing the heart muscle supplied by the artery to die.

9

STEMI Nearly half of potentially salvageable myocardium is lost within 1 hour of the coronary artery being occluded, and two-thirds are lost within 3 hours. Apart from resuscitation from any cardiac arrest, the highest priority in managing STEMI is to restore an adequate coronary blood flow as quickly as possible. Time Equals muscle

NICE guideleines

- The incidence of STEMI has been declining over the past 20 years. It varies between regions and averages around 500 hospitalised episodes per million people each year in the UK
- overall population prevalence of STEMI is likely to be in the region of 750–1250 per million people.













The diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction requires a finding of the typical rise and fall of biochemical markers of myocardial necrosis in addition to at least 1 of:
Ischemic symptoms
Development of pathologic Q waves on electrocardiogram (ECG)
Significant ST-segment-T wave (ST-T) changes or new left bundle branch block (LBBB)
Imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality
Intracoronary thrombus identified by angiography or autopsy







Treatment

- Deliver coronary reperfusion therapy (either primary PCI or fibrinolysis) as quickly as possible for eligible people with acute STEMI.
- primary PCI can be delivered within 120 minutes of the time when fibrinolysis could have been given.











Conditions other than acute coronary syndrome that lead to Troponin elevations

- Cardiac :
- Heart failure
- Cardiomyopathies
- Arrhythmia
- Endocarditis
- Cardiac tumours
- Aortic dissection
- Post cardiac transplantation
- Post cardiac surgery
- Pulmonary :
- Pulmonary embolism
- COPD exacerbation





BMJ 2008, Emergency management of cardiac chest pain: a review

- MI mortality 45 %
- 1:8 patients infarct within 2 weeks without appropriate treatment
- UK 30% of patients with chest pain are admitted/ 70 % are discharged
- US 40 % admitted/60% discharged
- US /3-4% MI are missed /discharged from A&E
- UK/6% MI are missed/discharged from A&E**** WHY,,,,,,,















The following mnemonic may useful in educating patients with CAD regarding

treatments and lifestyle changes necessitated by their condition.

- A = Aspirin and anti-angina
- B = Beta blockers and blood pressure (BP)
- C = Cholesterol and cigarettes
- D = Diet and diabetes
- E = Exercise and education









Conditions other than acute coronary syndrome that lead to troponin elevations

- Cardiac :
- Heart failure
- Cardiomyopathies
- Arrhythmia
- Endocarditis
- Cardiac tumours
- Aortic dissection
- Post cardiac transplantation
- Post cardiac surgery including ablation



Rhabdomyolysis

- Autoimmune and connective tissue disease
- Subarachnoid haemorrhage
- Post non-cardiac surgery
- Thermal injury
- Toxin induced
- Strenuous exertion e.g.













GIBBAI Registry of Acute Coronary Events	ACS F	Risk N	Model		
At Admission (in-hospital/to 6 months)	At Discharge (to	6 months)			
Age 50-59 🔹	🗹 Cardiac arre	st at admis	sion		
HR 70-89	✓ST-segment ✓Elevated car	deviation diac enzym	nes/markers		
SBP 120-139 •	Probability of	Death	Death or MI		
Creat. 1.6-1.99 💌	In-hospital	27%	50%		
CHF III (pulmonary edema 🔹	To 6 months	30%	70%		
SI Units		Reset)		
History	 Highly Suspicious Moderately Suspicious Slightly or Non-Suspicious 	 2 points 1 point 0 points			
--------------	--	---	--	--	--
ECG	 Significant ST-Depression Nonspecific Repolarization Normal 	 2 points 1 point 0 points 			
Age	 ≥ 65 years > 45 - < 65 years ≤ 45 years 	 2 points 1 point 0 points 			
Risk Factors	 ≥ 3 Risk Factors or History of CAD 1 or 2 Risk Factors No Risk Factors 	 2 points 1 point 0 points 			
Troponin	 ≥ 3 x Normal Limit > 1 - < 3 x Normal Limit ≤ Normal Limit 	 2 points 1 point 0 points			
Risk Factors	: DM, current or recent (<one month)="" sr<="" td=""><td>moker, HTN, HLP, family</td></one>	moker, HTN, HLP, family			



Sinus Bradycardia Heart blocks Brugada Sy, Long QT Syndrome WPW(Wolf-Parkinson-White) Cardiomyopathy Pericarditis Thoracic aortic dissection







Challenges

- Cardiac conditions such as MI are directly conveyed by ambulance services to Cardiology Hospitals and juniors see less and less heart attacks
- Not always easy to diagnose and differentiate
- Expected reasonable practice
- To provide the best possible care to our patients and avoid adverse outcomes

55

WHY ERRORS OCCUR

Too Junior out of depth

Lack Clinical Acumen

No exercise Common Sense

Experienced Clinicians

Lack of Common Sense

Clinical acumen not so good

WHY ERRORS OCCUR

- The dreaded DISCUSS WITH
- Best Case scenario
- Rule out/rule in



Stroke and TIA Management in Emergency Medicine

- TIA
- Types of stroke
- Imaging
- The importance of being referred to a stroke unit
- Treatment and the timeliness of these procedures





Stroke

- Stroke network
- Pre-alert
- Time from arrival to assessment
- FAST, ROSIER
- Time from arrival to CT
- Time to thrombolysis
- Admission to stroke unit



- FAST pre-hospital
- Exclude hypoglycaemia
- Transfer to right hospital
- Pre-alert hospital



Assessment Date	Time					
Symptom onset Date	Time	ROSIER				
GCS E= M= V= BP	*BM	NOJILN				
*if BM <3.5 mmol/L treat urgently and reassess	once blood glucose normal					
Has there been loss of consciousness or syncope? Has there been seizure activity?	Y (-1) N (0) Y (-1) N (0)	1.1.1.3 People who are admitted to accident and emergency (A&E) with a				
Is there a <u>NEW ACUTE</u> onset (or on awakening from	Is there a <u>NEW ACUTE</u> onset (or on awakening from sleep)					
I. Asymmetric facial weakness	Y (+1) N (0)	should have the diagnosis				
II. Asymmetric arm weakness	Y (+1) N (0)	established rapidly using a				
III. Asymmetric leg weakness	Y (+1) N (0)	validated tool, such as ROSIEI				
IV. Speech disturbance	Y (+1) N (0)	(Recognition of Stroke in the				
V. Visual field defect	Y (+1) N (0)	Emergency Room)				
*Total S	core (-2 to +5)					
Provisional diagnosis Stroke Non-Stroke (specify)		NICE CG 68				
*Stroke is unlikely but not completely excluded if total s	scores are equal to or less than 0					







Types of Stroke

85% - cerebral infarction

- anterior circulation

- posterior circulation

10% - primary haemorrhage

5% - subarachnoid haemorrhage

<1% - cerebral venous stroke

Ischaemic Stroke

Anterior circulation (~75%) Speech, weakness limb and facial, inco-ordination, altered sensation, loss of vision in one eye/ visual field

Posterior circulation (~25%) Dizziness, diplopia, dysarthria, dysphagia, disequilibrium, ataxia, and visual field deficits. Acute onset "crossed" deficits (cranial nerve territory symptoms on one side and sensory or motor deficits of the opposite arm and leg)

Acute venous stroke

Headache, papilloedema, seizures and focal (sometimes bilateral) neurological deficits

Young women, inflammatory disease, inherited thrombophilia, O.C.

1.4.2.5 Full-dose anticoagulation treatment

Stroke associated with arterial dissection

Spontaneous, or post-trauma Headache, facial and neck pain, Horner's syndrome, tinnitus 1.4.2.6 Anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents, preferably randomised trial

13







• Immediately

indications for thrombolysis (within 4.5 hours)

on anticoagulant/ bleeding tendency

GCS <13

progressive or fluctuating symptoms

signs meningism (papilloedema, neck stiffness, fever)

- severe headache at onset
- As soon as possible all others

NICE CG 68, 1.3.2

(2016/7 - SSNAP 51%<1 hour)















NICE GUIDELINE UPDATE (expected May 2019)

Suspected TIA

- Removal of scoring systems (poor in discriminating early risk)
- Immediate Aspirin
- All to be seen within 24 hours of onset (30-50% mimic)
- MRI at the time of assessment in clinic

Selected cases

• Thrombectomy extended to 24 hours









Missed SAH - Why?

- Sudden severe headache not present in 25%
- "Worst ever" often used in migraine
- Characteristic sudden onset not recognised
- · Aversion to light (photophobia) can occur with any severe headache
- Neck pain may predominate
- Attributed to more common cause migraine, neck pain
- Late presentation
- · Symptoms improve/ resolve with + without analgesia
- Absence of signs
- Failure to understand limits of CT

Does it matter?

- Failure to diag \rightarrow re-bleeding up to 15% 1st day 40% 1st day survivors over next 4/52
- 50% die <3/52, 1/3 survivors dependent
- · Early diagnosis and referral can improve outcome
- Nimodipine 60mg 4 hourly
- Neuro-surgical/ neuro-radiological intervention







REPRESENTING CLIENTS WITH AN A&E CLAIM

Jo Moore – Counsel Caron Heyes – Senior Associate

Caron Heyes

Senior Associate, Fieldfisher

caron.heyes@fieldfisher.com

Working with counsel pre-issue

- Risk assessment
- · Taking client instructions
- Investigation
- Testing evidence expert and factual
- Conference with counsel

My ideal Counsel

- Collaborator
- Empathetic to client aspirations and concerns
- Detailed in their examination of the issues
- Forward thinking
- · Realistic in their expectations of what can be achieved by solicitors
- Costs awareness

Jo Moore

Barrister, 1 Crown Office Row

jo.moore@1cor.com

Working with solicitor to prepare a claim

- · Receive instructions following review by solicitor
- Review records and early expert reports
- Conference meet the client, and test the evidence
- Fine-tune pleadings in conjunction with solicitor

My ideal solicitor:

- Collaborator
- Broad consideration of possible defendants.
- Chronology
- Clients' expectations managed
- Heads up about clients' particular aims and concerns

Case Studies						
 Case Study 1: TG - vascular Understanding triage system Referral routes Duty to investigate symptoms Limits of A&E 	Case Study 2: FB - meningitis Paediatric A&E Standard of care in triage Requirement to elicit certain information Nature and progression of illness 					
 Case Study 3: Brock - toxicology Discharged by A&E Out of hours care Duty of care - when does A&E adopt the duty of care? 	 Case Study 4: XY - neurological Discharge with advice Mapping the symptoms Proving your case on breach and causation Avoiding evidential contamination 					

Case Study 1: TG

Background

- Attends GP with painful toe and discolouration of foot.
- Referred to Orthopaedic surgeon who discounts orthopaedic issues but concerned it may be a DVT - sends her for Doppler.
- Doppler discounts a DVT, but problem persists.
- TG ends up at A&E:
 - unable to weight bear on the foot,
 - spread of discolouration,
 - pain now travelling up into the thigh.
- A&E discount a DVT but in case it is one put her on aspirin and give her a two week referral to Vascular.
- Two days later, TG admitted by ambulance to a different hospital for urgent vascular care.
- Her circulation is compromised and they attempt a bypass but it fails and she loses her foot.

Case Study 1: TG

Key features on the A&E evidence

- Failure to note that a) pain now in thigh b) pain levels are so severe patient cannot weight bear – both features inconsistent with DVT.
- Failure also to investigate other sources of pain in absence of a DVT finding.
- Had they investigated would have led to vascular review by next day (Trust had vascular clinics for urgent referrals on Tuesdays and Fridays and she was seen in A&E on a Monday).
- Would have saved foot.

Evidential issues

- Ruling out orthopaedic involvement.
- Understanding triage system and referral routes out of A&E.
- Knowing realistic time when would have been seen by vascular.
- Limits of A&E care.

Case Study 2: FB

FB v Dr. Sohail Rana, Princess Alexandra Hospital NHS Trust [2015] EWHC 1536

- 1 year old, staring into space, was unresponsive, then rolling eyes. Temp 40°C.
- Ambulance called, took to A&E. Triage within 3 minutes.
- 45 m later, SHO reviewed the baby.
 - She did not know about the eye-rolling incident, and did not ask about it.
 - She did not ascertain that the baby was brought in by ambulance.
 - She found baby to be looking well, alert and active, responsive and aware, pink and well hydrated, 36°C, 150 bpm, resp 36 bpm, and generally normal examinations.
 - Impression was one of an upper respiratory tract infection Baby discharged.
- Baby back to A&E same evening. Treated for pneumococcal meningitis but too late to save her from bilateral, profound hearing loss and learning difficulties.
- Judge found that the A&E SHO was not negligent in failing to elicit information; although a more senior doctor would have done - it was not negligent for a SHO to fail to do so.

Case Study 2: FB

FB v Princess Alexandra Hospital NHS Trust [2017] EWCA Civ 334)

On appeal

- Appeal regarding SHO allowed
- Judge had applied the wrong test to the standard of care of the SHO in A&E.
- History taking was a basic task not a specialist task where a different standard of care is required of more experienced consultant.
- SHO with competent care should have elicited the history of eye-rolling and arrival by ambulance.
- Parents may not know which symptoms are important or concerning



Case Study 3: Brock and another v Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust and another [2014] EWHC 4244 (QB)

Background

- R takes overdose of paracetamol.
- Attends A&E and bloods show paracetamol levels below a low risk treatment line (by reference to a chart) so not given antidote.
- Advice to return if feels unwell.
- Feels unwell so mum calls A&E and told to go to GP.
- Out of hours so attends on out of hours GP which happens to be located at the same hospital next to the A&E department.
- The GP goes to A&E department and speaks to a doctor to check on Blood results. He ascertains that the results showed paracetamol levels fell below the low risk treatment line and goes back to see R and advises that she should go home and keep warm, drink fluids etc. and records "generalised aching after overdose of paracetamol in morning no systemic findings"

Case Study 3: Brock and another v Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust and another [2014] EWHC 4244 (QB)

Background

- The case against the A&E team hinged on being able to establish that the A&E team should have re-examined R on being notified that she had returned complaining of feeling unwell and that they had a continuing duty of care in respect of R
- The A&E experts agreed that if the court found that the GP told the A & E team about the circumstances of Rachel's attendance (i.e. her condition and his findings) and sought advice on management there would have been a duty of care on the A & E team. If however the GP simply requested information about Rachel's blood levels during the night ... the A & E team would not have had a duty of care.
- The Judge held that contemporaneous notes of interaction between the GP and the A&E team were not consistent with the GP seeking and receiving advice from the A&E team and the claim failed on that point.

Case Study 3: Brock

Key issues

- Line between out of hours care and A&E
- The GP who went to A&E department to speaks to a doctor and check on blood results did he seek their advice or simply the results?
 - If sought their advice, and they gave it they would have adopted a duty of care.
 - However if not, they had no duty of care.
- Would this be decided differently in light of <u>Darnley</u>?



Case Study 4: XY

Evidential difficulties

- XY must prove that he was given inadequate advice, and with appropriate advice he would have received decompression surgery earlier.
- Many A&E cases involve patients discharged with advice.

Issues

- What was his condition when he first went to A&E? How to establish:
 - Contemporaneous documents from C
 - Text messages to/from family
 - Expert evidence on the trajectory of the illness and level to be expected at various times
 - NB in other cases (e.g. bilirubinaemia, meningitis, where illness is 'visible', there may well be photos. Check phones, check cloud)

Case Study 4: XY

Issues

- What advice was given? Whether negligent will depend on establishing the actual condition (expertise)
- Able to say that if he had been properly advised he would have called an ambulance sooner?
 - His statement will say he would have called sooner, but more persuasive are objective records.
 - Did he record anything about the consultation?
 - Go through previous medical records is he a diligent attender or does he "wait and see"?
- Relevant both to factual question of what advice was given, and to causation.

Practical concern

- His memory of his pain and condition at different stages may become inadvertently contaminated by:
 - Passage of time memory fades and timelines get mixed up
 - Litigation
 - Doing his own research









Please complete your details:					

Medico-Legal Issues in Accident and Emergency Care

6 March 2019, Fieldfisher, London

DELEGATE EVALUATION FORM

Dear Delegate

We value your opinion on all aspects of this conference and use this information to improve the quality and content of our forthcoming events. We would be grateful if you would spare a few moments to complete the following and either return it to the registration desk before you leave or send it to AvMA at your earliest convenience.

1. What was the major factor in the decision to attend this conference?

Inte Loc	resting programme ation of conference			Network AvMA's	ting Oppo reputatio	n	Cost Other	
If of	If other, please specify:							
2.	2. Please rate our speakers using the following scoring system.							
		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
Cha	n ir: Richard Booth QC Time-Keeping: Contribution:							
1)	Dr Tim Nutbeam	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
	Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:							
2)	Mr Nadoom Navoom	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
2)	Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:							
2)	Mr Paul Konnody	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
3)	Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:							
4)	Mr Peter Richmond	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
-,	Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:							
5)	Mr Manolis Gavalas Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
5)								
0		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Comments		
6)	Mr Peter Richmond Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:							

7)	Caron Heyes Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:					
8)	Jo Moore Overall Presentation: Subject Matter: Documentation:					
3.	How clear were the cours	se aims an	d objec	tives? Ve	ery clear	Quite clear Not very clear Not at all clear
4.	How well did the course	meet the o	bjective	es? Compl	etely Qu	ite well Fairly well Not that well Not at all
5.	What did you find MOST	useful abo	out the (Conferenc	e and wh	y?
6.	What did you find LEAST	useful abo	out the	Conferen	ce, and w	hy?
7.	What could AvMA have d	lone to ma	ke this	conferenc	e a bette	r event?
8.	What topics were omitted	d from the	prograr	mme that	you feel s	hould have been included in the course?
9.	Please give your opinion	of the follo	owing:			
		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	/ Poor	Comments
	Conference Rooms:					
	Meals & Refreshments:					
	Audio-visual facilities:					
4.0						
10.	FINALLY - How did you ra	ate the cor	iterenco	e overall?	oointing [-
		i aii		Disap		
Col						
11.	On what topics would yo	u like AvM	A to or	ganise co	nferences	?
1				2		
3				4		
12.	Do you expect that you w If YES, please say how you	vill use the u think you	learnin will use	g from th i the learnir	i s event i ng in your	n your work? YES NO work:
