

Potter Rees Dolan

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working

Gill Edwards  
Partner

**P**  
Potter Rees Dolan  
Serious Injury Solicitors

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working

Legal Instructions and Report Writing

- ▶ Types of expert reports
- ▶ Independence and impartiality
- ▶ Letters of instruction
- ▶ What the solicitor expects
- ▶ Content of the report
- ▶ Preparing for conference with counsel
- ▶ Amending the expert report
- ▶ Procedures
- ▶ Funding and payment

Experts and Lawyers. Effective Team Working

Types of expert reports

- ▶ Desk top/provisional opinion or full report?
- ▶ Breach of duty
- ▶ Causation
- ▶ Condition and Prognosis
- ▶ Single Joint Expert

Experts and Lawyers. Effective Team Working

Independence and impartiality

Letters of approach

- ▶ Enough information about case/defendant
- ▶ Independence and impartiality
- ▶ Up to date relevant experience
- ▶ CV and field of expertise
- ▶ Waiting list - be realistic!
- ▶ Funding/payment/deferred
- ▶ Fee estimate

Experts and Lawyers. Effective Team Working

Letters of instruction and enclosures

- ▶ Sorted and paginated bundles - vital
- ▶ Chronology
- ▶ Relevant information including SUI report
- ▶ Statements (SUI, inquest, claimant)
- ▶ Radiology/imaging
- ▶ Privileged/disclosed reports
- ▶ Factual discrepancies
- ▶ Specific Questions

Experts and Lawyers. Effective Team Working

What the solicitor expects from the expert

- ▶ Reporting within field of expertise
- ▶ Dealing with questions
- ▶ Literature in support of opinion
- ▶ Report on time
- ▶ Any other experts needed
- ▶ Time limits and the cost of delay
- ▶ **Court Directions Order**
- ▶ Extending time for service

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Content of Report - 1  
Overview

- ▶ Summary of expertise
- ▶ Summary of facts
- ▶ Summary of other reports if relevant
- ▶ References to page numbers of records
- ▶ Factual disputes
- ▶ Range of opinion
- ▶ Respond to questions - quote the questions!
- ▶ Literature
- ▶ Statement of Truth/Duty of Expert ( CPR Part 35)

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Content of Report - 2  
Apply the correct legal tests

- ▶ Breach of duty - Bolam Test
  - ▶ Not "I would not" or "most would not"
  - ▶ No responsible body
  - ▶ Stand up to logical scrutiny?
- ▶ Causation
  - ▶ balance of probabilities "would have"
  - ▶ not "may have" or "could have"
  - ▶ Factual - what *would* and *should* have happened (Sido John)
  - ▶ Medical - what damage flows from the negligence?

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Content of Report - 3  
Factual disputes

The Trial Judge will decide matters of fact

- ▶ Provide opinion based on each scenario
- ▶ Which scenario most likely given the nature of the injury?
- ▶ Quote from the records or statement if significant

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Content of Report - 4  
Range of opinion

- ▶ Consider other potential opinions
- ▶ Explain why you have dismissed them
- ▶ Judges like this! (See Trials later)

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Conferences with Counsel

- ▶ Initial; before exchange; before JSM; before Trial
- ▶ Be prepared to explain the medicine
- ▶ Discuss the strengths and weaknesses
- ▶ Fine tune the allegations ( LOC or POC)
- ▶ Amending your report before disclosure
- ▶ Considering the Defence
- ▶ Changing your mind - only with good reason

Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working  
Joint Meetings and Statements

After issue of court proceedings:

- ▶ Exchange of expert evidence
- ▶ Part 35 Questions
- ▶ Agendas for expert meetings
- ▶ Joint meetings
- ▶ Joint statements
- ▶ Crucial stage - basis of evidence at trial

**Dr Sido John v Central Manchester and Manchester Children's UH NHSFT [2016]**

- ▶ Falls backwards on stairs
- ▶ Found by a neighbour, another doctor, 2 hours after fall
- ▶ Vomited, dysphasia, GCS 9/15
- ▶ 06:52 admitted by ambulance to MRI
- ▶ CT scan ordered
- ▶ A&E Consultant, Dr Stewart, "chatted"; says GCS 15 and cancelled CT scan
- ▶ Review on CLDU; GCS 12-13; CT scan re-ordered - went ahead at 13:12
- ▶ SDH diagnosed and plan to transfer to Hope for surgery
- ▶ Seizure and delays in calling an ambulance

**Dr Sido John v Central Manchester and Manchester Children's UH NHSFT [2016]**

- Hope Hospital**
- ▶ Transferred to Hope Hospital ventilated
  - ▶ 19.30 surgery at Hope Hospital
  - ▶ Craniotomy to evacuate acute SDH and relieve raised ICP
  - ▶ Severe post operative brain infection
- Injuries**
- ▶ Prolonged rehabilitation
  - ▶ Developed hemianopia, cognitive impairments and depression
  - ▶ Unable to return to work as a GP

**Dr Sido John v Central Manchester and Manchester Children's UH NHSFT [2016]**

**Gathering evidence - facts**

- ▶ Claimant's witness statements
  - claimant
  - doctor who found him
  - nurse in A&E
  - neurosurgeon from Hope Hospital
  - mother
- ▶ Medical records/disclosure
  - condition on and during admission
  - computerised records re scan ordering/cancellation
  - operation note from Hope Hospital

**Dr Sido John v Central Manchester and Manchester Children's UH NHSFT [2016]**

**Gathering evidence**

- ▶ Factual evidence: what *would* have happened if scan done earlier?
  - ▶ Witness statement from surgeon at Hope Hospital
    - Was damaging raised ICP present earlier?
    - Would Hope have accepted him as a patient?
    - Would Hope have operated if transferred earlier?
    - Was a damaging level of raised ICP present when Hope operated?
- ▶ Expert evidence: what *should* have happened: expert evidence
  - Accident & Emergency
  - Neurosurgery

**Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working Experts at Trial**

**Raggett v Kings College NHSFT and others [2016]**  
Alistair MacDuff (sitting as a High Court Judge)

- ▶ "Impressive and highly expert witnesses doing their best to assist the court" (30)
- ▶ "Mr xxxx's evidence was less than satisfactory.....relied upon acceptance of every part of...(defendant witness')...account" (52)
- ▶ "evasive" (53)
- ▶ "consistent with joint statement" (69)

**Experts and Lawyers: Effective Team Working Experts at Trial**

**Raggett v Kings College NHSFT and others [2016]**  
Alistair MacDuff (sitting as a High Court Judge)

- ▶ "Dr xxxx....was much less secure in his opinion" (81)
- ▶ "prepared to make appropriate concessions and to express honest opinions founded on the merits of the evidence and argument" (115)
- ▶ "impressive, reliable witnesses....(116)
- ▶ "I much prefer xxxx's evidence on this issue..." (124)

**REMEMBER !**

- ▶ THE CASE MAY GO TO TRIAL
- ▶ JUDGES (criminal/commercial/shipping/gas/oil)
- ▶ EXPLAIN THE MEDICINE
- ▶ FOCUS ON THE ISSUES
- ▶ APPLY THE CORRECT LEGAL TESTS
- ▶ EXPLORE THE RANGE OF OPINIONS

Experts and Lawyers. Effective Team Working

Questions

[gilledwards@prd.uk.com](mailto:gilledwards@prd.uk.com)

Potter Rees Dolan

Experts and Lawyers:  
Effective Team Working

Gill Edwards  
Partner

[www.prd.uk.com](http://www.prd.uk.com)

**P**  
**PotterReesDolan**  
Serious Injury Solicitors